<u>AMENDMENT</u>

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

 (Currently Amended) An automated task classification system that operates on a task objective of a user, comprising:

a recognizer that spots at least one of a plurality of meaningful phrases in substantially simultaneous user verbal and non-verbal input, wherein the verbal and non-verbal input each convey different information and are associated with a coordinated message that achieves an appropriate response, each of the plurality of meaningful phrases having an association with at least one of a predetermined set of task objectives; and

a task classifier that makes a classification decision based, at least partly, on the spotted at least one of the plurality of meaningful phrases.

- 2. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the meaningful phrases are expressed in a multimodal form.
- 3. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 2, wherein the multimodal form includes inputs from at least one channel.
- 4. 6. (Cancelled)

Art Unit: 2614

7. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the meaningful

phrases in the user's input communication received by the recognizer are derived from the user's

actions.

8. (Cancelled)

9. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, further comprising a dialog

module that enters into a dialog with the user to obtain a feedback response from the user.

10. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 9, wherein the dialog module

prompts the user to provide a feedback response that includes additional information with respect

to the user's initial input communication.

11. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 9, wherein the dialog module

prompts the user to provide a feedback response that includes confirmation with respect to at

least one of the set of task objectives determined in the classification decision.

12. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the task classifier

routes the input communication based on the classification decision.

13. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 12, wherein the task objective

is performed after the input communication is routed by the task classifier.

14. (Cancelled)

Art Unit: 2614

15. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the system is used

for customer care purposes.

16. (Previously Presented) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the

classification decision and the corresponding input communication of the user are collected by

the system for automated learning purposes.

17. (Previously Presented) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the

association between the plurality of meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task

objectives is based, at least partly, on a measure of usefulness of one of the plurality of

meaningful phrases to a specified one of the predetermined task objectives.

18. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 17, wherein the usefulness

measure is a salience measure.

19. (Previously Presented) The automated task classification system of claim 18, wherein the

salience measure is represented as a conditional probability of the task objective being requested

given an appearance of one of the plurality of meaningful phrases in the input communication,

the conditional probability being a highest value in a distribution of conditional probabilities

over the set of predetermined task objectives.

Art Unit: 2614

threshold.

20. (Previously Presented) The automated task classification system of claim 18, wherein each of the plurality of meaningful phrases has a salience measure exceeding a predetermined

- 21. (Previously Presented) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the association between the meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives is based, at last partly, on a measure of commonality within a language of the meaningful phrases.
- 22. (Previously Presented) The automated task classification system of claim 21, wherein the measure of commonality is a mutual information measure.
- 23. (Previously Presented) The automated task classification system of claim 22, wherein each of the plurality of meaningful phrases has a mutual information measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.
- 24. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the task classifier makes the classification decision using a confidence function.
- 25. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the input communication from the user represents a request for at least one of the set of predetermined task objectives.
- 26. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the input communication is responsive to a query of a form "How may I help you?".

Art Unic 2614

27. (Previously Presented) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein each of

the verbal input and the non-verbal input are directed to one of the set of predetermined task

objectives and each of the verbal input and the non-verbal input is labeled with the one task

objective to which it is directed.

28. (Currently Amended) An automated routing system that automatically routes a user's

request based on an automated task classification decision, comprising:

a recognizer that spots at least one of the plurality of meaningful phrases in substantially

simultaneous user verbal and non-verbal input, wherein the verbal and non-verbal input each

convey different information and are associated with a coordinated message that achieves an

appropriate response, each of the plurality of meaningful phrases having an association with at

least one of a predetermined set of task objectives;

a task classifier that makes a classification decision based, at least partly, on the spotted

at least one of the plurality of meaningful phrases; and

a task router that routes the user's request in order to perform at least one of the task

objectives based on the classification decision.

29. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the meaningful phrases are

expressed in multimodal form.

30. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 29, wherein the multimodal form

includes inputs from at least one channel.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,495

Art Unit: 2614

31. - 33. (Cancelled).

34. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the meaningful phrases in the

Docket No.: 112233-CON-1

user's input communication received by the recognizer are derived from the user's actions.

35. (Cancelled)

36. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, further comprising a dialog module

that enters into a dialog with the user to obtain a feedback response from the user.

37. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 36, wherein the dialog module prompts

the user to provide a feedback response that includes additional information with respect to the

user's request.

38. (Original) The automated routing system of clam 36, wherein the dialog module prompts

the user to provide a feedback response that includes confirmation with respect to at least one of

the set of task objectives determined in the classification decision.

39. (Previously Presented) The automated routing system of claim 36, wherein if the task

classifier cannot make a classification decision after the dialog is conducted with the user, the

router routes the user's request to a human for assistance.

40. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 39, wherein the task objective is

performed after the user's request is routed.

Application/Centrol Number: 09/699,495

Art Unit: 2614

41. (Cancelled)

42. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the system is used for

customer care purposes.

43. (Previously Presented) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the classification

Docket No.: 112233-CON-L

decision and the corresponding user request are collected by the system for automated learning

purposes.

44. (Previously Presented) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the association

between the plurality of meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives is

based, at least partly, on a measure of usefulness of one of the plurality of meaningful phrases to

a specified one of the predetermined task objectives.

45. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 44, wherein the usefulness measure is a

salience measure.

46. (Previously Presented) The automated routing system of claim 45, wherein the salience

measure is represented as a conditional probability of the task objective being requested given an

appearance of the meaningful phrase in the user's request, the conditional probability being a

highest value in a distribution of conditional probabilities over the set of predetermined task

objectives.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,495

Art Unit: 2614

47. (Previously Presented) The automated routing system of claim 45, wherein each of the

Docket No.: 112233-CON-1

plurality of meaningful phrases has a salience measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.

48. (Previously Presented) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the association

between the plurality of meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives is

based, at least partly, on a measure of commonality with a language of the plurality of

meaningful phrases.

49. (Previously Presented) The automated routing system of claim 48, wherein the measure of

commonality is a mutual information measure.

50. (Previously Presented) The automated routing system of claim 49, wherein each of the

plurality of meaningful phrases has a mutual information measure exceeding a predetermined

threshold.

51. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the task classifier makes the

classification decision using a confidence function.

52. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the user's request represents

a request for at least one of the set of predetermined task objectives.

53. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the user's request is

responsive to a query of a form "How may I help you?".

Art Unit: 2614

54. (Previously Presented) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein each of the verbal input and the non-verbal input are directed to one of the set of predetermined task objectives and each of the verbal input and the non-verbal input being labeled with the one task

objective to which it is directed.

55. (Cancelled)

56. (Previously Presented) The automated task classification system of claim 1, further

comprising:

an interpretation module configured to apply a confidence function based on a probabilistic relation between the spotted at least one of the plurality of meaningful phrases in the input communication of the user and the at least one of the predetermined set of task objectives, wherein

the task classifier makes the classification decision based, at least partly on, a result of the applied confidence function.

57. (Previously Presented) The automated routing system of claim 28, further comprising

an interpretation module configured to apply a confidence function based on a

probabilistic relation between the spotted at least one of the plurality of meaningful phrases in

the user's request and the at least one of the predetermined set of task objectives, wherein

the task classifier makes the classification decision based, at least partly, on a result of the

applied confidence function.